Lasting interests in oxide hetero-interfaces

A. Taraphder Department of Physics, IIT Kharagpur 721302

In the last two decades, different heterointerfaces have shown emergent properties very different from their constituents. Two insulating oxides SrTiO₃ and LaAlO₃, joined together to form a heterostructure, produced a highly conductive twodimensional electron liquid (2DEL) at the interface. This 2DEL exhibits exciting new phenomena such as finite-momentum triplet superconductivity coexisting with inhomogeneous ferromagnetism. For reasonably strong disorder, the system breaks up into mutually excluded regions of superconductivity and ferromagnetism, with a clustering of vacancies at low temperatures, ruling out an FFLO state [1]. A phasetransition to topological superconductivity is driven by perpendicular magnetic fields and gapless topological excitations [2] at the core of a vortex, such as Majorana fermions, may be realised. Possible scenarios for anomalous Hall effect and spin Hall effect with changing Fermi surface topology (a Lifshitz transition) are outlined [3]. The observation of transient superconductivity above nominal Tc implying a 'hidden' superconducting order [4,5] is understood. The electronic properties [6] of a polarpolar LaVO₃/KTaO₃ (LVO/KTO, 001) hetero-interfaces of up to six and five layers of KTO and LVO, respectively, suggest the presence of multiple Lifshitz transitions (LT). While the spin-orbit coupling is found to be negligible, coming only from the Ta 5d xy-derived bands, intriguing magnetic properties are observed. The magnetic states are highly sensitive to the number of layers of LVO & KTO: even-even and odd-odd layers show AFM order for more than two LVO layers. The spin-polarized density of states reveals that all the interfaces with ferromagnetic (FM) ground states are half-metallic. Remarkably, the critical thickness of four LVO layers for metallic interface, observed in experiments, appears only when the system is magnetic. The small energy differences between AFM and FM configurations indicate a possible coexistence of competing AFM and FM ground states in these interfaces.

References:

- [1] N. Mohanta, A. Taraphder, J. Phys. Cond. Mat. 26, 025705 (2014)
- [2] N. Mohanta and A. Taraphder, Europhys. Lett. 108, 60001 (2014)
- [3] N. Mohanta, S. Bandyopadhyay, S. Lal and A. Taraphder, arXiv:1407.6539 (2014)
- [4] Shelender Kumar, Gopi Nath Daptary, Pramod Kumar, Anjana Dogra, N. Mohanta, A.
- Taraphder, R. C. Budhani, Aveek Bid, arXiv:1411.3103 (2014)
- [5] N. Mohanta and A. Taraphder, Phys. Rev. B. 92, 174531 (2015).
- [6] Shubham Patel, Narayan Mohanta, Snehasish Nandy, Subhendra D. Mahanti, A
- Taraphder, arXiv:2307.06904 (2023)