Introduction to Quantum Game Theory

Multiparticipant decision problems

strategic interdependence

ATE

Classical game theory

- began in 1944 with '*The Theory of* Games and Economic Behavior', by John von Neumann and Oscar Morgenstern
- Originally based in classical physics
- generalized to include laws of quantum mechanics by Meyer in 1999.



What range of application does game theory have?

Applications

- Economic theory (game of maximizing monetary rewards, stor market, business, ie supply and demand)
- Diplomacy(2 to N players, N>2 coalitions tend to form)
- Secure communications (the quantum-mechanical protocols for eavesdropping [Gisin & Huttner 1997, Ekert 1991] and optimal cloning can be formulated as games
- Quantum algorithms can be formulated as a game between classical and quantum players
- Fundamental questions of Quantum mechanics (e.g. protein folding, and electrons can be viewed as playing a quantum game competing for atomic orbitals)
- Dawkins' dictum of the 'Selfish Gene' can be thought of in terms of games of survival. Colonies of bacteria appear to play the game of prisoner dilemma

Hence a `**game**' is quite a general construct.





E.g. Prisoner Dilemma game

Bob	С	D
Alice		
С	(3,3)	(0,5)
D	(5,0)	(1,1)

Payoff(Alice,Bob)

Regardless of Bob's choice, Alice always maximizes her payoff by playing D and similarly for Bob thus forming the **pure** Nash equilibrium of D,D with a payoff of 1 unit for each player.



NB: Both players would prefer the outcome C,C!

Bacterium Prisoner's dilemma



• Realized pay-off matrix for the evolved high MOI phage ØH2 relative to its ancestor Ø6 reveals evolution of an evolutionarily stable strategy conforming to the prisoner's dilemma. Turner & Chao, Letters to Nature, 1999.



Nash equilibrium

- A NE is found if any unilateral deviation of this strategy results in a lower payoff
- A NE, X', Y' Can be defined as

 $\Pi_A(X',Y) \ge \Pi_A(X,Y)$ $\Pi_B(X,Y') \ge \Pi_B(X,Y)$



Elements of a Game

- **Information** about the game situation can be full or partial
- **Strategy sets**, e.g. C or D as in PD game, the strategic choice made depends on the information available to the player
- Game equilibrium such as Nash equilibrium(NE) arise



Nash Equilibria(NE)

- -Player responses to maximize the payoff function. Any variation of this strategy will produce a lower payoff
- Repeated games
- A mixed NE always exist(J. Nash)
- A subset of NE are evolutionary stable strategies
- Bayesian games
- Decoherence can also be included
- Parrondo games can also arise

The Quantum extension…

- The game state can now become entangled
- strategy sets can be expanded to general unitary transformations
- Strategic choices can be quantum superpositions of two separate strategies



Definition of a quantum game

We define a game

- $\Gamma = \Gamma(H, \Lambda, \{S_i\}_j, \Pi)$
- H is a Hilbert space
- Λ is the initial state of the game
- ${S_i}_j$ are the set of allowed choices for each player j, usually unitary transformations or classical choices
- Π the payoff function determined after measurement
- A strategy is determined by the players after analyzing game setup and the payoff matrix



Penny Flip game







Bob prepares coin

Alice can flip coin

Bob can flip coin



Heads: Bob wins Tails: Alice wins

Once placed in box coin is hidden from the players

Penny flip game **classical** solution

- Each player flips with a 50% probability, that is a **mixed** strategy
- A mixed strategy is used so that their choices are unpredictable
- Payoff expectation is zero for each player, and so a fair game



Quantum penny flip game

Let $|0\rangle$ represent heads and $|1\rangle$ represent tails. Bob plays $H|0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$ Whether Alice flips or not the game state is unchanged. Bob plays H again, and as $H^2 = I$, he returns the state $|0\rangle$.

Hence Bob always wins!



Meyer's Penny flip general solution





Chappell et al. JPSJ 2009



Clifford's Geometric Algebra

- Modeling of a qubit in a real space thus avoiding complex numbers and allowing a visual picture(Bloch sphere, density matrix)
- Elegant expressions for probabilistic outcomes for multiple qubits

 $P(\psi,\phi) = 2^{N-2} [\langle \psi E \psi^{\dagger} \phi E \phi^{\dagger} \rangle_0 - \langle \psi J \psi^{\dagger} \phi J \phi^{\dagger} \rangle_0]$



Quantum games vs gaming the quantum

- We seek a proper extension of a classical game, so that at zero entanglement we recover the classical game.
- For example, we can use an EPR experiment setting, which retains classical strategies
- Avoids arguments by Ent & Pike, that unitary transformations fundamentally change the corresponding classical game.







Quantum games-EPR setting





Gaming the quantum

• Utilize the full range of quantum mechanical properties, entanglement, unitary transformations and superposition of states.





Non-factorizable joint probabilities

- Quantum mechanical measurements result in probabilistic outcomes, and hence a alternate framework is non-factorizable joint probabilities. Gives a superset of quantum mechanical correlations
- When the joint probability distribution becomes factorizable(equivalent to being unentangled) then we recover the classical game. Fines theorem.





Heirarchy of Games

Framework	Strategy space	Cirel'son	Commen
		bound	
NFJP*		4	
Quantum	Unitary transform	2√2	Gaming the quantum
EPR	Probabilistic choice	2√2	Quantum gaming
Mixed classical	Probabilistic choice	2	NE always exists,eg PF
Classical	Classical choice	2	eg PD

*NFJP=Non-factorizable joint probability



Summary

- We highlighted the distinction between *quantum games* and *gaming the quantum*.
- EPR setting provides a proper quantum extension to a classical game as it retains classical strategies
- Geometric algebra a useful tool
- Non-factorizable joint probability provides a general framework for classical and quantum games.
- Wide applicability of quantum game theory to many areas of science