> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

# Stronger Error-Disturbance Relations

## Namrata Shukla

Post Doctoral Fellow Harish-chandra Research Institute Allahabad

arXiv:1503.05085

February 18, 2016

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

# Overview

#### Stronger E-D Relations

Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

## 1 Uncertainty Relations

2 Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

4 Comparison with Examples

## 6 Conclusions

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

# Uncertainty

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

 Uncertainty principle encapsulates the impossibility of simultaneous measurement of two incompatible physical observables.

▲ロト ▲冊 ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ▲ ヨ ▶ ● の Q @

# Uncertainty

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

#### Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

 Uncertainty principle encapsulates the impossibility of simultaneous measurement of two incompatible physical observables.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

The Robertson version of the uncertainty relation

$$\Delta A^2 \Delta B^2 \geq rac{1}{2} |\langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi 
angle |^2$$
,

where  $\Delta A^2 = \langle \psi | A^2 | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle^2$ .

# Uncertainty

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

#### Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

- Uncertainty principle encapsulates the impossibility of simultaneous measurement of two incompatible physical observables.
- The Robertson version of the uncertainty relation

$$\Delta A^2 \Delta B^2 \geq rac{1}{2} |\langle \psi | [A,B] | \psi 
angle |^2$$
,

where  $\Delta A^2 = \langle \psi | A^2 | \psi \rangle - \langle \psi | A | \psi \rangle^2$ .

 These uncertainty relations may happen to be trivial even if the observables are incompatible on the state of system. Stronger uncertainty relations by Maccone and Pati capture the concept of incompatible observables.

Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

These uncertainty relations differ from the original uncertainty principle in a crucial way as these are relations concerning intrinsic quantum fluctuations for observables of a quantum system.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Namrata Shukla

## Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

- These uncertainty relations differ from the original uncertainty principle in a crucial way as these are relations concerning intrinsic quantum fluctuations for observables of a quantum system.
- In a realistic measurement scenario, we must couple the system to a probe through an interaction and read the result from the measuring apparatus.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

- These uncertainty relations differ from the original uncertainty principle in a crucial way as these are relations concerning intrinsic quantum fluctuations for observables of a quantum system.
- In a realistic measurement scenario, we must couple the system to a probe through an interaction and read the result from the measuring apparatus.
- Arthurs and Kelly derived an expression akin to the Robertson uncertainty relation for error ε<sub>A</sub> in measurement of observable A and corresponding disturbance η<sub>B</sub> on observable B

 $\epsilon_A \eta_B \geq \frac{1}{2} |\langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle|$ 

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

## Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

System and apparatus are initially non-entangled and in states  $|\psi\rangle_s$  and  $|\phi\rangle_p$ .

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

## Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

System and apparatus are initially non-entangled and in states  $|\psi\rangle_s$  and  $|\phi\rangle_p$ .

The Physical observables of the system to be measured  $A_{in} = A \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ B_{in} = B \otimes \mathbb{I}.$ 

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

- System and apparatus are initially non-entangled and in states  $|\psi\rangle_s$  and  $|\phi\rangle_p$ .
- The Physical observables of the system to be measured  $A_{in} = A \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ B_{in} = B \otimes \mathbb{I}.$
- Fix an operator *M* (after measurement) to read off and estimate of the value of *A*.
   *M<sub>in</sub>* = I ⊗ *M*

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

#### Uncertainty Relations

- Error-Disturbance Relations
- New Error-Disturbance Relations
- Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

- System and apparatus are initially non-entangled and in states  $|\psi\rangle_s$  and  $|\phi\rangle_p$ .
- The Physical observables of the system to be measured  $A_{in} = A \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ B_{in} = B \otimes \mathbb{I}.$
- Fix an operator *M* (after measurement) to read off and estimate of the value of *A*.
   *M<sub>in</sub>* = I ⊗ *M*

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

• 
$$A_{out} = U^{\dagger} (A \otimes \mathbb{I}) U, \ B_{out} = U^{\dagger} (B \otimes \mathbb{I}) U, \ M_{out} = U^{\dagger} (\mathbb{I} \otimes M) U$$

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

#### Uncertainty Relations

- Error-Disturbance Relations
- New Error-Disturbance Relations
- Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

- System and apparatus are initially non-entangled and in states  $|\psi\rangle_{\rm S}$  and  $|\phi\rangle_{\rm P}.$
- The Physical observables of the system to be measured  $A_{in} = A \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ B_{in} = B \otimes \mathbb{I}.$
- Fix an operator M (after measurement) to read off and estimate of the value of A.
   M<sub>in</sub> = I ⊗ M

• 
$$A_{out} = U^{\dagger} (A \otimes \mathbb{I}) U, \ B_{out} = U^{\dagger} (B \otimes \mathbb{I}) U, \ M_{out} = U^{\dagger} (\mathbb{I} \otimes M) U$$

• Noise 
$$\epsilon_A = \sqrt{\langle \Psi | (M_{out} - A_{in})^2 | \Psi \rangle}$$
,  
Disturbance  $\eta_B = \sqrt{\langle \Psi | (B_{out} - B_{in})^2 | \Psi \rangle}$ ,  
where  $|\Psi \rangle = |\psi \rangle_s \otimes |\phi \rangle_p$ .

# **Error-Disturbance Relations**

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

(

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

 Ozawa proved, for error-disturbance and intrinsic quantum fluctuations for two incompatible observables

$$\epsilon_A \eta_B + \epsilon_A \Delta B + \Delta A \eta_B \ge |\mathcal{C}_{AB}|,$$

where  $|\mathcal{C}_{AB}| = \frac{1}{2} |\langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle|$ .

M. Ozawa, Phys. Rev. A 67, 042105 (2003)

# **Error-Disturbance Relations**

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

(

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

 Ozawa proved, for error-disturbance and intrinsic quantum fluctuations for two incompatible observables

$$\epsilon_A \eta_B + \epsilon_A \Delta B + \Delta A \eta_B \ge |\mathcal{C}_{AB}|,$$

where  $|\mathcal{C}_{AB}| = \frac{1}{2} |\langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle|.$ 

Hall's error-disturbance relation

 $\epsilon_{A}\eta_{B} + \epsilon_{A}\Delta B_{out} + \Delta M_{out}\eta_{B} \ge |\mathcal{C}_{AB}|$ 

M. Ozawa, Phys. Rev. A 67, 042105 (2003)

# **Error-Disturbance Relations**

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

(

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

 Ozawa proved, for error-disturbance and intrinsic quantum fluctuations for two incompatible observables

$$\epsilon_A \eta_B + \epsilon_A \Delta B + \Delta A \eta_B \ge |\mathcal{C}_{AB}|,$$

where  $|\mathcal{C}_{AB}| = \frac{1}{2} |\langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle |$ .

Hall's error-disturbance relation

$$\epsilon_{A}\eta_{B} + \epsilon_{A}\Delta B_{out} + \Delta M_{out}\eta_{B} \ge |\mathcal{C}_{AB}|$$

• Weston *et al.* formulated a new error-disturbance relation

 $\epsilon_{A}(\Delta B + \Delta B_{out}) + \eta_{B}(\Delta A + \Delta M_{out}) \geq 2|\mathcal{C}_{AB}|$ 

M. Ozawa, Phys. Rev. A 67, 042105 (2003)

Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

## Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

## Branciard's error-disturbance relation

$$\epsilon_{A}^{2}\Delta B^{2} + \eta_{B}^{2}\Delta A^{2} + 2\epsilon_{A}\eta_{B}\sqrt{\Delta A^{2}\Delta B^{2} - \mathcal{C}_{AB}^{2}} \geq \mathcal{C}_{AB}^{2}$$

C. Branciard, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110, 6742 (2013)

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

## Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

## Branciard's error-disturbance relation

$$\epsilon_A^2 \Delta B^2 + \eta_B^2 \Delta A^2 + 2\epsilon_A \eta_B \sqrt{\Delta A^2 \Delta B^2 - \mathcal{C}_{AB}^2} \geq \mathcal{C}_{AB}^2$$

For dichotomic set of observables A, B with eigenvalues  $\pm 1$  and the states with  $\langle A \rangle = \langle B \rangle = 0$ ,

$$\begin{split} \epsilon_A^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon_A^2}{4} \right) &+ \eta_B^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\eta_B^2}{4} \right) \\ &+ 2\sqrt{1 - \mathcal{C}_{AB}^2} \epsilon_A \eta_B \sqrt{1 - \frac{\epsilon_A^2}{4}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\eta_B^2}{4}} \geq \mathcal{C}_{AB}^2 \end{split}$$

C. Branciard, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110, 6742 (2013)

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

## Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

## Branciard's error-disturbance relation

$$\epsilon_A^2 \Delta B^2 + \eta_B^2 \Delta A^2 + 2\epsilon_A \eta_B \sqrt{\Delta A^2 \Delta B^2 - \mathcal{C}_{AB}^2} \geq \mathcal{C}_{AB}^2$$

For dichotomic set of observables A, B with eigenvalues  $\pm 1$  and the states with  $\langle A \rangle = \langle B \rangle = 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_A^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon_A^2}{4} \right) &+ \eta_B^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\eta_B^2}{4} \right) \\ &+ 2\sqrt{1 - \mathcal{C}_{AB}^2} \epsilon_A \eta_B \sqrt{1 - \frac{\epsilon_A^2}{4}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{\eta_B^2}{4}} \geq \mathcal{C}_{AB}^2 \end{aligned}$$

This gives strictly stronger bound than Branciard or Ozawa Bound and signifies maximum Bell non-locality among all well known error-disturbance relations.

C. Branciard, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 110, 6742 (2013)

# New Error-Disturbance Relations

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

## Theorem

For the noise operator  $N_A = M_{out} - A_{in}$  and corresponding disturbance operator  $D_B = B_{out} - B_{in}$ , if the system and the probe are in joint state  $|\Psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle_s \otimes |\phi\rangle_p$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_A^2 + \eta_B^2 &\geq & \pm i \langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle \mp i \langle \Psi | [M_{out}, B_{in}] | \Psi \rangle \\ & \mp i \langle \Psi | [A_{in}, B_{out}] | \Psi \rangle + | \langle \Psi | N_A \pm i D_B | \Psi^\perp \rangle |^2 \end{aligned}$$

where the sign is chosen such that  $\pm i \langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle$  is positive and  $| \Psi^{\perp} \rangle$  is orthogonal to  $| \Psi \rangle$ .

L. Maccone and A. K. Pati, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 260401 (2014)

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

## System, probe, observables and estimator

$$|\psi
angle_{s}=lpha|0
angle+eta|1
angle=u|0
angle,\;|\phi
angle_{
m p}=|1
angle$$

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

System, probe, observables and estimator

$$|\psi
angle_{s}=lpha|0
angle+eta|1
angle=u|0
angle,\,\,|\phi
angle_{
m p}=|1
angle$$

• 
$$A_{in} = \sigma'_x \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ B_{in} = \sigma'_y \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ M_{in} = \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x$$

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

System, probe, observables and estimator

$$|\psi\rangle_{s} = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle = u |0\rangle, \ |\phi\rangle_{p} = |1
angle$$

• 
$$A_{in} = \sigma'_x \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ B_{in} = \sigma'_y \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ M_{in} = \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x$$

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta^* \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma'_x = u\sigma_x u^{\dagger}, \ \sigma'_y = u\sigma_y u^{\dagger}$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

where  $\alpha = \cos \theta$ ,  $\beta = \sin \theta \ e^{i\phi}$ .

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

System, probe, observables and estimator

$$|\psi\rangle_{s} = \alpha |0\rangle + \beta |1\rangle = u|0\rangle, \ |\phi\rangle_{p} = |1
angle$$

• 
$$A_{in} = \sigma'_x \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ B_{in} = \sigma'_y \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ M_{in} = \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x$$

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & -\beta^* \\ \beta & \alpha \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma'_x = u\sigma_x u^{\dagger}, \ \sigma'_y = u\sigma_y u^{\dagger}$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where  $\alpha = \cos \theta$ ,  $\beta = \sin \theta e^{i\phi}$ .

Coupling through CNOT interaction

 $U = P_0 \otimes \mathbb{I} + P_1 \otimes \sigma_x$ ,

 $P_0 = |0
angle\langle 0|$  and  $P_1 = |1
angle\langle 1|.$ 

Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

## Branciard's EDR

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

 $\epsilon_A^2 + \eta_B^2 \geq 1$ 

Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

## Branciard's EDR

 $\epsilon_A^2 + \eta_B^2 \ge 1$ 

## New EDR

# $\epsilon_A^2 + \eta_B^2 \geq 2 - 8\cos^2\theta \sin^2\theta \sin^2\phi + 16\cos^4\theta \sin^4\theta \sin^2\phi$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

## Branciard's EDR

New EDR

 $\epsilon_A^2 + \eta_B^2 \geq 1$ 

 $\epsilon_A^2 + \eta_B^2 \geq 2 - 8\cos^2\theta \sin^2\theta \sin^2\phi + 16\cos^4\theta \sin^4\theta \sin^2\phi$ 

For  $\phi = \pi/2$ 

 $\epsilon_A^2 + \eta_B^2 \geq 1 + \cos^4(2\theta)$ 

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

•  $|\Psi^{\perp}\rangle$  can be generated by projecting any state  $|r\rangle$  to the orthogonal subspace of  $|\Psi\rangle$ .

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

•  $|\Psi^{\perp}\rangle$  can be generated by projecting any state  $|r\rangle$  to the orthogonal subspace of  $|\Psi\rangle$ .



Figure : Error-disturbance relations for the fixed values of observables and state such that  $|C_{AB}| = 1$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

Define the following,

 $L_{Ozawa} = \epsilon_A \eta_B + \epsilon_A \Delta B + \Delta A \eta_B$ 

 $L_{Branciard} = \sqrt{\epsilon_A^2 \Delta B^2 + \eta_B^2 \Delta A^2 + 2\epsilon_A \eta_B \sqrt{\Delta A^2 \Delta B^2 - C_{AB}^2}}$  $L_{New}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \Big[ \epsilon_A^2 + \eta_B^2 \pm i \langle \Psi | [M_{out}, B_{in}] | \Psi \rangle \\ \pm i \langle \Psi | [A_{in}, B_{out}] | \Psi \rangle - | \langle \Psi | N_A \pm i D_B | \Psi^\perp \rangle |^2 \Big]$ 

Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

$$|\psi\rangle_s = \cos\theta |0\rangle + \sin\theta |1\rangle, \ |\phi\rangle_p = |1\rangle$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

$$|\psi\rangle_{s} = \cos\theta |0\rangle + \sin\theta |1\rangle, \ |\phi\rangle_{p} = |1\rangle$$

• 
$$A_{in} = \sigma_x \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ B_{in} = \sigma_y \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ M_{in} = \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

$$|\psi\rangle_{s} = \cos\theta |0\rangle + \sin\theta |1\rangle, \ |\phi\rangle_{p} = |1\rangle$$

• 
$$A_{in} = \sigma_x \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ B_{in} = \sigma_y \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ M_{in} = \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x$$

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● ○ ● ● ● ●

$$U = P_0 \otimes \mathbb{I} + P_1 \otimes \sigma_x$$

Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

$$|\psi\rangle_{s} = \cos\theta |0\rangle + \sin\theta |1\rangle, \ |\phi\rangle_{p} = |1\rangle$$
$$A_{in} = \sigma_{x} \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ B_{in} = \sigma_{y} \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ M_{in} = \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_{x}$$

 $U = P_0 \otimes \mathbb{I} + P_1 \otimes \sigma_x$ 



Figure : 25% of states, show tighter bound for qubit initial states.

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

э

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

$$|\psi\rangle_s = \sin\theta \cos\phi |0\rangle + \sin\theta \sin\phi |1\rangle + \cos\theta |2\rangle, \ |\phi\rangle_p = |1\rangle$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

$$|\psi\rangle_s = \sin\theta \cos\phi |0\rangle + \sin\theta \sin\phi |1\rangle + \cos\theta |2\rangle, \ |\phi\rangle_p = |1\rangle$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• 
$$A_{in} = S_x \otimes \mathbb{I}$$
  
 $B_{in} = S_y \otimes \mathbb{I}$   
 $M_{in} = \mathbb{I} \otimes S_x$ 

 $S_x, S_y, S_z$  being spin matrices for spin 1.

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

$$|\psi\rangle_{s} = \sin\theta \cos\phi |0\rangle + \sin\theta \sin\phi |1\rangle + \cos\theta |2\rangle, \ |\phi\rangle_{p} = |1\rangle$$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

• 
$$A_{in} = S_x \otimes \mathbb{I}$$
  
 $B_{in} = S_y \otimes \mathbb{I}$   
 $M_{in} = \mathbb{I} \otimes S_x$ 

 $S_x, S_y, S_z$  being spin matrices for spin 1.

## Qutrit CNOT

	/1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0 \
	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
U =	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	0/	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0/

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

$$\ \ \, |\psi\rangle_{\rm s}={\rm sin}\theta\cos\phi|0\rangle+{\rm sin}\theta\sin\phi|1\rangle+\cos\theta|2\rangle,\ |\phi\rangle_{\rm p}=|1\rangle$$

•  $A_{in} = S_x \otimes \mathbb{I}$  $B_{in} = S_y \otimes \mathbb{I}$  $M_{in} = \mathbb{I} \otimes S_x$ 

 $S_x, S_y, S_z$  being spin matrices for spin 1.

Qutrit CNOT



states.

э

Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

## Theorem

For Noise operator  $N_A$  and corresponding Disturbance operator  $D_B$  defined as,  $N_A = M_{out} - A_{in}$  and  $D_B = B_{out} - B_{in}$ , if the system and the probe are in joint state  $|\Psi\rangle = |\psi\rangle_s \otimes |\phi\rangle_p$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon_{A}\eta_{B} + \eta_{B}\Delta A + \epsilon_{A}\Delta B - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\langle \Psi | N_{A}\Delta D_{B} \pm i D_{B}\Delta N_{A} | \Psi^{\perp} \rangle|^{2}}{\epsilon_{A}\eta_{B}} \\ - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\langle \Psi | A\Delta D_{B} \pm i D_{B}\Delta A | \Psi^{\perp} \rangle|^{2}}{\Delta A \eta_{B}} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\langle \Psi | N_{A}\Delta B \pm i B\Delta N_{A} | \Psi^{\perp} \rangle|^{2}}{\epsilon_{A}\Delta B} \ge |\mathcal{C}_{AB}| \end{aligned}$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

where  $C_{AB}$  is defined previously and the sign is chosen such that  $\pm i \langle \psi | [A, B] | \psi \rangle$  is positive.

# Qubit System

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

 $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ |\psi\rangle_s = \cos\theta |0\rangle + \sin\theta |1\rangle, \\ |\phi\rangle_\rho = |1\rangle \end{array}$ 

• 
$$A_{in} = \lambda \ (\sigma_x \otimes \mathbb{I}), \ B_{in} = \sigma_y \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ M_{in} = \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x$$
  
with  $\lambda = 0.01$ .

$$U = P_0 \otimes \mathbb{I} + P_1 \otimes \sigma_x$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Qubit System

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

 $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ |\psi\rangle_{s} = \cos\theta |0\rangle + \sin\theta |1\rangle, \\ |\phi\rangle_{\rho} = |1\rangle \end{array}$ 

• 
$$A_{in} = \lambda \ (\sigma_x \otimes \mathbb{I}), \ B_{in} = \sigma_y \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ M_{in} = \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x$$
  
with  $\lambda = 0.01$ .

$$U = P_0 \otimes \mathbb{I} + P_1 \otimes \sigma_x$$



Figure : 1.5% of states, show tighter bound for qubit initial states  $\log R$ 

# Qubit System

Stronger E-D Relations

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

- $|\psi\rangle_s = \cos\theta |0\rangle + \sin\theta |1\rangle,$  $|\phi\rangle_p = |1\rangle$
- $A_{in} = \lambda \ (\sigma_x \otimes \mathbb{I}), \ B_{in} = \sigma_y \otimes \mathbb{I}, \ M_{in} = \mathbb{I} \otimes \sigma_x$ with  $\lambda = 0.01$ .

$$U = P_0 \otimes \mathbb{I} + P_1 \otimes \sigma_x$$

$$\begin{split} L^{(2)}_{New} &= \epsilon_A \eta_B + \eta_B \Delta A + \epsilon_A \Delta B \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\langle \Psi | N_A \Delta D_B \pm i D_B \Delta N_A | \Psi^\perp \rangle|^2}{\epsilon_A \eta_B} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\langle \Psi | A \Delta D_B \pm i D_B \Delta A | \Psi^\perp \rangle|^2}{\Delta A \eta_B} \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \frac{|\langle \Psi | N_A \Delta B \pm i B \Delta N_A | \Psi^\perp \rangle|^2}{\epsilon_A \Delta B}. \end{split}$$



Figure : 1.5% of states, show tighter bound for qubit initial states \_\_\_\_

# Conclusions

#### Stronger E-D Relations

Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

- Formulation of a new inherent fluctuation free error-disturbance relation.
- Achieved better bounds than Branciard for some initial states and specific measurement settings.
- Modification of Ozawa's error-disturbance relation for product of variances.
- Better bounds than Ozawa and Branciard for some given states.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

## Collaborators





・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト 三日

Arun K Pati

## Chiranjib

> Namrata Shukla

Uncertainty Relations

Error-Disturbance Relations

New Error-Disturbance Relations

Comparison with Examples

Conclusions

# Thank you

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?